

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION

IN RE:

Case No. 10-41890

TANYAU RENAY PRICE, *pro se*,

Chapter 7

Debtor.

Judge Thomas J. Tucker

_____ /

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION

This case comes before the Court on a letter from Debtor filed on February 9, 2010 (Docket # 25, the "Motion"), which this Court construes as a motion for reconsideration of, and for relief from, the February 3, 2010 Order dismissing this case (Docket # 17), and

The Court having reviewed and considered the Motion, and

The Court finds the Motion fails to demonstrate a palpable defect by which the Court and the parties have been misled, and that a different disposition of the case must result from a correction thereof. *See* Local Rule 9024-1(a)(3).

The Court also finds that the allegations in the Motion do not establish excusable neglect under Fed.R.Civ.P. 60(b)(1), FedR.Bankr.P. 9024, or any other valid ground for relief from the order dismissing this case.

In addition, the Court notes the following. First, the deadline for Debtor to file a mailing matrix was February 2, 2010, as stated in the Notice of Deficient Filing issued and dated January 26, 2010 (Docket # 10). Debtor's allegation that she filed the matrix on February 3, 2010 therefore admits that the matrix was not filed on time. Second, the Debtor has failed to file numerous documents that were due no later than February 8, 2010. Debtor's motion for an extension of time to file the missing documents was not filed until February 9, 2009, after the deadline, and therefore was not timely. Third, the order dismissing this case does not preclude

the Debtor from filing a new bankruptcy case.

NOW, THEREFORE,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion should be, and is, DENIED.

Signed on February 11, 2010

/s/ Thomas J. Tucker
Thomas J. Tucker
United States Bankruptcy Judge